

# Computing a Model of Set Theory

CiE 2005

New Computational Paradigms

Universiteit van Amsterdam: ILLC, June 8 - 12, 2005

Peter Koepke, Rheinische Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität Bonn



**Finite** numbers, natural numbers, number theory, computability theory:

$$0, 1, 2, \dots, n, n+1, \dots$$

Induction and recursion, defining and **computing**:

$$f(n) = G(f(0), \dots, f(n-1)).$$

# A Standard Turing Computation

Computing  
a Model  
of Set Theory

		S P A C E										
		0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	...	...	
0		1	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	
T I M E	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0			
	2	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0			
	3	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	0			
	4	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	0			
	⋮											
	$n$	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1			
$n+1$	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1				
⋮												

A standard Turing computation. Head positions are indicated by shading.

# Ordinal Numbers (1)

Ordinal numbers, infinite numbers, set theory, higher recursion theory (?)

$0 = \emptyset$ , the **empty set**;

$1 = \{0\}$ , a **singleton set**;

$2 = \{0, 1\}$ , a **pair set**;

$3 = \{0, 1, 2\}$ ;

$\vdots$

$n = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1\} = \{m \mid m < n\}$ ;

$\vdots$

$\omega = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n, \dots\}$ , the set of **natural numbers**;

$\omega+1 = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, \omega\} = \omega \cup \{\omega\}$ , the **successor** of  $\omega$ , ...

# Ordinal Numbers (2)

⋮

$$\alpha = \{\beta \mid \beta < \alpha\}$$

$$\alpha + 1 = \{\beta \mid \beta < \alpha + 1\} = \alpha \cup \{\alpha\}$$

⋮

$\aleph_1$  = the first **uncountable** ordinal / cardinal

⋮

$\aleph_2$  = the second uncountable cardinal

⋮

$$\aleph_\omega = \bigcup_{n < \omega} \aleph_n$$

$$\aleph_\omega + 1 = \aleph_\omega \cup \{\aleph_\omega\}$$

⋮

**Transfinite induction:** there is no infinite sequence

$$\alpha_0 > \alpha_1 > \alpha_2 > \dots$$

of ordinals.

**Transfinite recursion:**

$$f(\alpha) = G(f \upharpoonright \{\beta \mid \beta < \alpha\}) = G(f \upharpoonright \alpha).$$

**Limit ordinals:**  $\omega, \omega + \omega, \dots, \aleph_1, \dots$  are not of the form  $\alpha + 1$ .



Use standard Turing **programs**

Computation rules:

for time  $t = 0$  or successor time  $t = \alpha + 1$ : use standard computation rules;

If  $t < \theta$  is a limit time (ordinal), the machine constellation at  $t$  is determined by taking inferior limits:

$$\forall \xi \in \text{Ord } T(t)_\xi = \liminf_{r \rightarrow t} T(r)_\xi \text{ (tape contents);}$$

$$S(t) = \liminf_{r \rightarrow t} S(r) \text{ (program states);}$$

$$H(t) = \liminf_{s \rightarrow t, S(s) = S(t)} H(s) \text{ (head position).}$$

The machine may stop or run forever.



If the machine stops, the result is a transfinite 0-1-sequence

$$(T(\theta)_\xi)_{\xi \in \text{Ord}},$$

i.e. a **characteristic function**  $\chi_A$  of a set or class of ordinals.

A subset  $x \subseteq \text{Ord}$  is **ordinal computable** (from finitely many ordinal parameters) if there a finite subset  $z \subseteq \text{Ord}$  and a program  $P$  which takes the characteristic function  $\chi_z$  of  $z$  as initial tape content and stops with the tape content  $\chi_x$ :

$$P: \chi_z \mapsto \chi_x$$

Which sets are ordinal computable?

Let

$$\mathcal{S} = \{x \subset \text{Ord} \mid x \text{ is ordinal computable}\}.$$

- $\mathcal{S}$  is closed under unions, intersections, relative complements.
- $\mathcal{S}$  is closed with respect to definable subsets:  
if  $x, y \in \mathcal{S}$  and  $\varphi(u, v)$  is an  $\in$ -formula then

$$\{u \in x \mid (\mathcal{S}, \in) \models \varphi(u, y)\} \in \mathcal{S}.$$

- The proof requires to code all ordinal computations into one universal program so that the quantifiers in  $\varphi$  can be evaluated (an "ordinal Kleene predicate").
- $\mathcal{S} = M \cap \{x \mid x \subset \text{Ord}\}$  for some model  $(M, \in)$  of set theory.

# The Theory SO

Computing  
a Model  
of Set Theory

$(\mathcal{S}, \in)$  satisfies an axiom system SO ("Sets of Ordinals") which axiomatizes classes of the form  $M \cap \{x \mid x \subset \text{Ord}\}$  for some model  $M$  of set theory.

Gödel defined a model  $L$  of set theory satisfying

$$L = \bigcap \{M \mid M \text{ is a transitive model of set theory and } \text{Ord} \subseteq M\}.$$

- $L_0 = \emptyset$
- $L_{\alpha+1} =$  the set of all definable subsets of  $L_\alpha$
- $L_\lambda = \bigcup_{\alpha < \lambda} L_\alpha$  for all limit ordinals  $\lambda$
- $L = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \text{Ord}} L_\alpha$

**Theorem**  $\mathcal{S} = L \cap \{x \mid x \subset \text{Ord}\}$ .

*Proof.* If  $x \in \mathcal{S}$  then it can be computed by a program  $P$  and some ordinal parameters  $\vec{\alpha}$ . The same computation can then be carried out inside the model  $L$  with the same result. Hence  $x \in L$ .

For the converse note that  $\mathcal{S} = M \cap \{x \mid x \subset \text{Ord}\}$  for some transitive model  $M$  of set theory. Since  $L \subseteq M$  we have

$$\mathcal{S} \subseteq L \cap \{x \mid x \subset \text{Ord}\}.$$

- theory of inspired by infinite time Turing machines of Hamkins, Kidder, Lewis
- generalizations of other notions of computability into the transfinite yield same result, e.g. **ordinal register machines** with Ryan Siders, Helsinki.
- introduction of the computability paradigm into the theory of constructible models of sets theory.
- transfinite analogues of Turing machine notions
- applications: can prove Cantor's continuum hypothesis in  $L$  with ordinal Turing machines.

P. Koepke, Turing Computations on Ordinals, to appear in the Bulletin of Symbolic Logic, 2005; also available at the arxiv.

























